Special Concircular Lie-Recurrence in a Finsler space equipped with non-symmetric connection

¹S.K. Tiwari, ²Ved Mani and ³C.P. Maurya

1,2Department of Mathematics K.S. Saket P.G. College, Ayodhya (224123) sktiwarisaket@yahoo.com; ved27051986@gmail.com ³Adarsh Inter College Saltauwa Gopalpur, Basti (272190)

Abstract

In present paper we deals with Lie-recurrence generated by a concircular vector field in a Finsler space equipped with non-symmetric connection. In this communication, we have observed that in a Finsler space equipped with non symmetric connection admitting concircular Lie-recurrence. In the later sections of the communication results have been derived in a recurrent Finsler space of second order with non-symmetric connection. In last section we studies a symmetric Finsler space of second order with non-symmetric connection.

Date of Submission: 14-03-2023

Date of Acceptance: 31-03-2023

I. Introduction

We consider n-dimensional Finsler space F^n [10] having 2n-line element (x^i, \dot{x}^j) (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n) equipped with non-symmetric connection Γ^i_{jk} . The non-symmetric connection Γ^i_{jk} is based on non-symmetric fundamental metric tensor $g_{ij}(x, \dot{x}) \neq g_{ji}(x, \dot{x})$. Nitescu (1974) [5] defined non-symmetric connection Γ^i_{jk} as follows:

(1.1) (a)
$$\Gamma^{i}_{jk} = M^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x}) + \frac{1}{2}N^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x})$$

One more connection parameter $\hat{\Gamma}^{i}_{jk}$ has been introduced by Pandey and Gupta [6].

(1.1) (b)
$$\hat{\Gamma}^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x}) = M^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x}) - \frac{1}{2}N^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x})$$

The covariant derivative of the tensor field $T^{i}_{j}(x,\dot{x})$ with respect of x^{k} is

defined Pande H.D. and Tiwari, S.K. [7] in two distinct ways as :

$$(1.2) \quad (a) \qquad T^{i}_{j \downarrow k} = \partial_k T^{i}_j - \left(\dot{\partial}_m T^{i}_j\right) \Gamma^{m}_{pk} \dot{x}^p + T^{m}_j \Gamma^{i}_{mk} - T^{i}_m \Gamma^{m}_{jk}$$

The commutation formula involving the covariant derivative defined in (1.2) is given by [7].

$$(1.3) T_{j | hk}^{i} - T_{j | kh}^{i} = -\left(\hat{\partial}_{m} T_{j}^{i}\right) R_{shk}^{m} \dot{x}^{s} + T_{j}^{m} R_{mhk}^{i} - T_{m}^{i} R_{jhk}^{m} + T_{j | m}^{i} N_{kh}^{m}$$

where

$$(1.4) \qquad R_{ijk}^h = \partial_k \Gamma_{ij}^h - \partial_j \Gamma_{ik}^h + \left(\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{ik}^h \right) \Gamma_{si}^m \dot{x}^s - \left(\dot{\partial}_m \Gamma_{ij}^h \right) \Gamma_{sk}^m \dot{x}^s + \Gamma_{ij}^p \Gamma_{pk}^h - \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{pj}^h$$

is a curvature tensor

In view of covariant derivative we have the following commutation formula for tensor T_j^i is given by [6]:

$$(1.5) \quad \dot{\partial}_k \left(T^i_{\stackrel{i}{j|h}}\right) - \left(\dot{\partial}_k T^i_j\right)_{\stackrel{i}{|h}} = T^m_j \Gamma^i_{mkh} - T^i_m \Gamma^m_{kjh} - \left(\dot{\partial}_m T^i_j\right) \Gamma^m_{kph} \dot{x}^p$$

where $\Gamma^{i}_{mkh} = \dot{\partial}_{m} \Gamma^{i}_{kh}$.

We shall extensively use the following identities, notations and contractions;

(1.6) (a)
$$x_{i}^{i} = 0$$

(b)
$$x_{\perp k}^{i} = 0$$

(c)
$$R_{ik}^i = R_{hik}^i \dot{x}^h$$

(d)
$$R_i^i = R_{hi}^i \dot{x}^h$$

(e)
$$R_{hik}^i = -R_{hki}^i$$

(f)
$$R_i^i = (n-1)R$$

and

$$(g) N_{ik}^i = -N_{ki}^i = \Gamma_{ik}^i - \Gamma_{ki}^i$$

The Lie-derivative of an arbitrary tensor $T_j^i(x,\dot{x})$ and non-symmetric connection Γ_{jk}^i are expressible in the forms given as under [7]

(1.7) (a)
$$L_{\nu}T_{j}^{i}(x,\dot{x}) = T_{j|k}^{i}\nu^{k} + (\partial_{k}T_{j}^{i})\nu_{|k}^{k}\dot{x}^{h} - T_{j}^{k}\nu_{|k}^{i} + T_{k}^{i}\nu_{|j}^{k}$$

(b)
$$L_{\nu}R_{hjk}^{i} = R_{hjk}^{i} + v^{\varepsilon} + R_{sjk}^{i} v_{|h}^{s} + R_{hsk}^{i} v_{|j}^{s} + R_{hjs}^{i} v_{|k}^{s} - R_{hjk}^{s} v_{|s}^{i} + (\hat{o}_{z}R_{hjk}^{i}) v_{|j}^{s} \dot{x}^{r}$$

$$(1.8) \quad L_{\nu}\Gamma^{i}_{jk}(x,\dot{x}) = v^{i}_{\uparrow jk} - R^{i}_{jkh}v^{h} + (\dot{\partial}_{z}\Gamma^{i}_{jk})v^{z}_{\uparrow h}\dot{x}^{h}$$

We have the following commutation formula [7].

(1.9)
$$L_v(\dot{\partial}_k T_j^i) - \dot{\partial}_k (L_v T_j^i) = 0$$

$$(1.10) \ L_{\nu}\bigg(T^{i}_{j\, \hat{l}\, k}\bigg) - \Big(L_{\nu}T^{i}_{j}\Big)_{\hat{l}\, k} = T^{h}_{j}L_{\nu}\Gamma^{i}_{hk} - T^{i}_{h}L_{\nu}\Gamma^{h}_{jk} + \Big(\dot{\partial}_{h}T^{i}_{j}\Big)\Big(L_{\nu}\Gamma^{h}_{sk}\Big)\dot{x}^{z}$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad L_{\nu}\left(\Gamma^{i}_{hi^{\dagger}k}\right) - \left(L_{\nu}\Gamma^{i}_{hj}\right)_{\uparrow k} = L_{\nu}R^{i}_{hjk} + \Gamma^{i}_{rhj}\left(L_{\nu}\Gamma^{r}_{lk}\right)\dot{x}^{l} - \Gamma^{i}_{rhk}\left(L_{\nu}\Gamma^{r}_{lj}\right)\dot{x}^{l} + N^{r}_{kj}\left(L_{\nu}\Gamma^{i}_{hr}\right)$$

We now consider an infinitesimal transformation given as:

$$(1.12) \ \overline{x}^i = x^i + \in v^i$$

Such a transformation is generated by a contravariant vector v^i which depends on positional co-ordinates only, the term ϵ is an infinitesimal constant.

2. Special Con-circular Lie-recurrence in a Finsler space F_n^* :

In the Finsler space F_n^* under consideration we assume that the space is admitting an infinitesimal transformation given by (1.12), which is generated by a special concircular vector field to be characterised by

(2.1) (a)
$$v_{jk}^i = \rho \delta_j^i$$

(b)
$$v_{|k}^i = \rho \delta_j^i$$

where ρ is not a constant.

We now allow a partial differentiation in (2.1) with respect to x^k , we get

(2.2)
$$\dot{\partial}_k \left(v_{\uparrow i}^i \right) = \left(\dot{\partial}_k \rho \right) \delta_j^i$$

We now take into account the commutation formula given by (1.5) in (2.2), we get

$$(2.3) \quad \dot{\partial}_k \left(v^i_{j} \right) - \left(\dot{\partial}_k v^i \right)_{j} = v^m \Gamma^i_{mkj} - \left(\dot{\partial}_m v^i \right) \Gamma^m_{kpj} \dot{x}^p$$

But, since v^i is a function of positional co-ordinates only hence from (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad \dot{\partial}_k \left(v^i_{\mid j} \right) = v^m \Gamma^i_{mkj}$$

Using (2.1) in (2.4), we get

(2.5)
$$(\dot{\partial}_k \rho) \delta_i^i = v^m \Gamma_{mki}^i$$

We now make the supposition that the special concircular transformation given by (1.12) has a Lie-recurrence in the Finsler space F_n^* . i.e.

$$(2.6) L_v R^i_{jkh} = \phi R^i_{jkh}$$

Where ϕ is a non-zero scalar.

It can easily be verified that the scalar ϕ appearing in (2.6) is a functions of positional co-ordinates only.

In view of (2.1) (b), (1.7) (b), (2.6) may be expressed in the following form:

(2.7)
$$R_{hik}^{i} v^{s} = (\phi - 2\rho) R_{hjk}^{i}$$

Where, we have taken into account the fact that R_{hjk}^{i} is homogeneous of degree zero in its directional arguments.

Differentiating (2.1), \oplus -covarintly in the sense of (1.2), we get

(2.8)
$$v_{|jk}^i = \rho_k \delta_j^i$$
 where $\rho_k = \rho_{|k}$

Applying commutation in (2.8) with respect to indices j and k, we get

$$(2.9) \quad v_{jik}^{i} - v_{jki}^{i} = \rho_k \delta_j^{i} - \rho_j \delta_k^{i}$$

Applying commutation formula given (1.3) in (2.9), we get

$$(2.10) - (\dot{\partial}_m v^i) R_{xjk}^m \dot{x}^s + v^m R_{mjk}^i = \rho_k \delta_j^i - \rho_j \delta_k^i - v_{\downarrow m}^i N_{jk}^m$$

Using (1.6) in (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) - \left(\dot{\partial}_m v^i\right) R^m_{sjk} \dot{x}^s + v^m R^i_{mjk} + v^i_{\dagger m} \left(\Gamma^m_{jk} - \Gamma^m_{kj}\right) = \rho_k \delta^i_j - \rho_j \delta^i_k$$

Again using (2.1) and the fact the contravariant vector v^i is independent of directional arguments in (2.11), we get

$$(2.12) \ v^m R^i_{mjk} + \rho \left(\Gamma^i_{jk} - \Gamma^i_{kj} \right) = \rho_k \delta^i_j - \rho_j \delta^i_k$$

We allow a contraction in (2.12) with respect to the indices i and j, we get

$$(2.13) \quad \rho_k = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R_{mik}^i + \rho \left(\Gamma_{ik}^i - \Gamma_{ki}^i \right) \right]$$

Using (2.13) in (2.12), we get

$$(2.14) \quad v^m R^i_{mjk} + \rho \left(\Gamma^i_{jk} - \Gamma^i_{kj}\right) = \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpk} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pk} - \Gamma^p_{kp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_j \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{jp}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R^p_{mpj} + \rho \left(\Gamma^p_{pj} - \Gamma^p_{pj}\right) \right] \mathcal{S}^i_k \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} \left[v^m R$$

As a special case, if we assume that the connection coefficient Γ^{i}_{jk} appearing in (2.13) is symmetric one then from (2.13), we shall get

(2.15)
$$\rho_k = \frac{1}{(n-1)} v^m R_{mik}^i$$

Using (2.15) in (2.12), we get

(2.16)
$$v^{m} \left[(n-1)R_{mjk}^{i} + R_{mj}\delta_{k}^{i} - R_{mk}\delta_{j}^{i} \right] = 0$$

Where we have written $R_{mij}^i = R_{mi}$.

We now take into account the Lie-derivative of ρ_k and in accordance with (1.7), we write it as

$$(2.17) L_{\nu}\rho_{k} = \rho_{k \uparrow h}^{\dagger} \nu^{h} + (\partial_{h}\rho_{k}) \rho_{\uparrow l}^{h} \dot{x}^{l} + \rho_{h} \nu_{\uparrow k}^{h}$$

We use (2.1) in (2.17), we get

(2.18)
$$L_{\nu}\rho_{k} = \rho_{k \mid h}^{+} \nu^{h} + \rho \left(\dot{\partial}_{h} \rho_{k} \right) \dot{x}^{h} + \rho \rho_{k}$$

Since ρ_k has been assumed to be degree zero is its directional arguments hence from (2.18), we get

(2.19)
$$L_{\nu}\rho_{k} = \rho_{\iota_{\downarrow}}^{\dagger} \nu^{h} + \rho \rho_{k}$$

We now allow a transformation to (2.7) by v^h and get

(2.20)
$$R_{hik}^{i} v^{s} v^{h} = (\phi - 2\rho) R_{hik}^{i} v^{h}$$

We now differentiate (2.12), \oplus -covariantly in sense of (1.2) with respect to x^{l} , we get

$$(2.21) \quad v_{||}^{m} R_{mjk}^{i} + v_{||mk||}^{m} + \rho_{||l} \left(\Gamma_{jk}^{i} - \Gamma_{kj}^{i} \right) + \rho \left(\Gamma_{jk}^{i} - \Gamma_{kj}^{i} \right)_{||l}^{*} = \rho_{k||l}^{*} \delta_{j}^{i} - \rho_{||l|}^{*} \delta_{k}^{i}$$

We now allow a transvection in (2.21) by v^l and there after using (2.1), we get

$$(2.22) \rho v^{m} R_{mjk}^{i} + v^{m} v^{l} R_{mjk}^{i} + v^{l} \rho_{l} N_{jk}^{i} + \rho v^{l} \left[\left(\partial_{l} N_{jk}^{i} \right) - \left(\dot{\partial}_{m} N_{jk}^{i} \right) \Gamma_{sl}^{m} \dot{x}^{s} + N_{jk}^{m} \Gamma_{ml}^{i} - N_{mk}^{i} \Gamma_{jl}^{m} - N_{jm}^{i} \Gamma_{kl}^{m} \right]$$

$$= v^{l} \left(\rho_{k,l} \delta_{j}^{i} - \rho_{s,l} \delta_{k}^{i} \right)$$

Using (2.12) and (2.20) in (2.22), we get

$$(2.23) \rho \left[\rho_{k} \delta_{j}^{i} - \rho_{j} \delta_{k}^{i} - \rho N_{jk}^{i} \right] + (\phi - 2\rho) \left[\rho_{k} \delta_{j}^{i} - \rho_{j} \delta_{k}^{i} - \rho N_{jk}^{i} \right]$$

$$+ \rho v^{l} \left[\left(\partial_{l} N_{jk}^{i} \right) - \left(\partial_{m} N_{jk}^{i} \right) \Gamma_{zl}^{m} \dot{x}^{z} + N_{jk}^{m} \Gamma_{ml}^{i} - N_{mk}^{i} \Gamma_{jl}^{m} - N_{jm}^{i} \Gamma_{kl}^{m} \right]$$

$$= v^{l} \left[\rho_{k \uparrow l} \delta_{j}^{i} - \rho_{j \uparrow l} \delta_{k}^{i} \right]$$

We now allow a contraction in (2.23) with respect to the indices i and j, we get

$$(2.24) (n-1)(\phi-\rho)\rho_{k} - \rho(\phi-\rho)N_{ik}^{l} + \rho v^{l} \left[\left(\partial_{l}N_{ik}^{i} \right) - \left(\partial_{m}N_{ik}^{i} \right) \Gamma_{zl}^{m}\dot{x}^{z} + N_{ik}^{m}\Gamma_{ml}^{i} - N_{mk}^{i}\Gamma_{il}^{m} - N_{im}^{i}\Gamma_{kl}^{m} \right]$$

$$= (n-1)v^{l}\rho_{kl}^{i}$$

Making use of (2.24) in (2.19) will not enable us to state about the Lierecurrence of the covariant derivative of the scalar ρ and therefore, we can state:

Theorem (2.1): In a Finsler space F_n^* equipped with non-symmetric connection and admitting concircular Lie-recurrence respectively characerised by (2.1) and (2.6) covariant derivative of the scalar ρ is not Lie-recurrent.

But however if we assume that the connection coefficient Γ^i_{jk} of Finsler space F^*_n is symmetric one in its lower indices j and k, then as a result of this assumption, we immediately get the following from (2.24).

$$(2.25) \ (\phi - \rho) \rho_k = v^l \rho_{k \mid l}$$

Using (2.19) in (2.25), we get

 $(2.26) L_{\nu}\rho_{k} = \phi \rho_{k}$

Therefore in such a special case, we can state:

Corollary (2.1):

In a Finsler space F_n^* admitting concircular Lie-recurrence respectively characterised by (2.1) and (2.6), the covariant derivative of the scalar ρ appearing in (2.1) is Lie-recurrent with respect to the Lie-recurrence provided the connection coefficient Γ_{jk}^* of Finsler space F_n^* be assumed to be symmetric.

3. Recurrent Finsler space F_n^* of second order and special concircular Lierecurrence:

Definition (3.1): The Finsler space F_n^* equipped with non-symmetric connection is said to be recurrent of second order if

$$(3.1) R_{jkh^{\dagger}lm}^{i} = \beta_{lm}R_{jkh}^{i}, R_{jkh}^{i} \neq 0$$

Where β_{lm} (x, \dot{x}) are the component of a non-zero recurrence covariant tensor of second order.

Differentiating (2.7) \oplus -covariantly with respect to x^m , we get

$$(3.2) R_{jkh^{\dagger}lm}^{i} v^{l} + R_{jkh^{\dagger}l}^{i} v_{\dagger m}^{l} = (\phi_{m} - 2\rho_{m}) R_{jkh}^{i} + (\phi - 2\rho) R_{jkh^{\dagger}m}^{i}$$

Where $\phi_m = \phi_{\uparrow m}$ and $\rho_m = \rho_{\uparrow m}$.

Introducing (2.1) and (3.1) in (3.2), we get

$$(3.3) \quad (\phi - 3\rho) R^{i}_{ikh^{\dagger}_{m}} = \left(v^{l} \beta_{lm} - \phi_{m} + 2\rho_{m}\right) R^{i}_{jkh}$$

In the definition (3.1) it has been assumed that $R^i_{jkh} \neq 0$, which will mean that the recurrent Finsler space F^*_n of second order is necessary non-flat and also the recurrence covariant tensor β_{lm} of second order is non-symmetric because, if it

be assumed that such a space is symmetric then $R^i_{jkh^{\dagger}lm} = 0$ will obviously imply $R^i_{jkh^{\dagger}lm} = 0$ and as such from (3.1) we shall automatically get $\beta_{lm} = 0$ which will lead to a contradiction of definition (3.1). In the light of these observations equation (3.3) will imply either of the following two conditions:

(i)
$$\phi - 3\rho = 0$$
, $v^l \beta_{lm} - \phi_m + 2\rho_m = 0$

(ii)
$$\phi - 3\rho \neq 0, v^l \beta_{lm} - \phi_m + 2\rho_m \neq 0$$

In the light of the second observation, we can write (3.3) in the following alternative from

$$(3.4) R_{jkh|m}^{i} = \gamma_m R_{jkh}^{i}$$

where
$$\gamma_m = \frac{v^l \beta_{lm} - \phi_m + 2\rho_m}{\phi - 3\rho}$$

Equation (3.4) automatically tells that the Finsler space F_n^* equipped with non-symmetric connection is recurrent of order one but it has been observe in [8] that a recurrent Finsler space F_n^* does not admit a con-circular vector field and therefore under this observation we easily arrive at the conclusion that a recurrent Finsler space F_n^* will not admit a special concircular Lie-recurrence and therefore we can state:

Theorem (3.1). A bi-recurrent Finsler space F_n^* of second order with special concircular Lie-recurrence necessarily satisfies:

(3.5) (i)
$$\phi - 3\rho = 0$$

(ii)
$$v^l \beta_{lm} - \phi_m + 2\rho_m = 0$$

Communicating (3.1) with respect to the indices l and m, we get

$$(3.6) \quad R^{i}_{jkh^{\uparrow}lm} - R^{i}_{jkh^{\uparrow}ml} = (\beta_{lm} - \beta_{ml}) R^{i}_{jkh}$$

Using (1.3) in (3.6), we get

$$(3.7) -(\hat{\partial}_{p}R_{jkh}^{i})R_{zlm}^{p}\dot{x}^{z} + R_{jkh}^{p}R_{plm}^{i} - R_{pkh}^{i}R_{jlm}^{p} - R_{jph}^{i}R_{klm}^{p} - R_{jkp}^{i}R_{hlm}^{p}$$

$$+R_{jkh}^{i} {}_{p}N_{lm}^{p} = (\beta_{lm} - \beta_{ml})R_{jkh}^{i} = B_{lm}R_{jkh}^{i}$$

where
$$B_{lm} = \beta_{lm} - \beta_{ml}$$
.

Taking the Lie-derivative of both sides of (3.7) and there after using (2.6), we get

$$(3.8) \quad -L_{\nu} \left(\hat{\partial}_{p} R^{i}_{jkh} \right) R^{p}_{zlm} \dot{x}^{z} - \phi \left(\hat{\partial}_{p} R^{i}_{jkh} \right) R^{p}_{zlm} \dot{x}^{z} + 2\phi R^{p}_{jkh} R^{i}_{plm} - 2\phi R^{i}_{pkh} R^{p}_{jlm}$$

$$-2\phi R^{i}_{jph} R^{p}_{klm} - 2\phi R^{i}_{jkp} R^{p}_{hlm} + \left(L_{\nu} R^{i}_{jkh^{\dagger}p} \right) N^{p}_{lm} + R^{i}_{jkh^{\dagger}p} L_{\nu} \left(N^{p}_{lm} \right)$$

$$= \left(L_{\nu} B_{lm} \right) R^{i}_{jkh} + \phi B_{lm} R^{i}_{jkh}$$

In the light of (3.8), we can therefore state

Theorem (3.2).

In a recurrent Finsler space F_n^* of second order the skew-symmetric part of the recurrence tensor $\beta_{lm}(x,\dot{x})$ appearing in (3.1) with special concircular Lierecurrence is not Lie-recurrent in general. But however if we assume that

$$(3.9) \quad -L_{\nu}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{p}R_{jkh}^{i}\right)R_{zlm}^{p}\dot{x}^{z} - \phi\left(\hat{\sigma}_{p}R_{jkh}^{i}\right)R_{zlm}^{p}\dot{x}^{z} + 2\phi R_{jkh}^{p}R_{plm}^{i} - 2\phi R_{pkh}^{i}R_{jlm}^{p} - 2\phi R_{jkh}^{i}R_{jlm}^{p} - 2\phi R_{jkh}^{i}R_{klm}^{p} - 2\phi R_{jkp}^{i}R_{hlm}^{p} + \left(L_{\nu}R_{jkh^{\dagger}p}^{i}\right)N_{lm}^{p} + R_{jkh^{\dagger}p}^{i}\left(L_{\nu}N_{lm}^{p}\right) = 0$$

Then using (3.9) in (3.8), we immediately get

(3.10)
$$L_v B_{lm} = \psi B_{lm}$$

where $\psi = -\phi$

Therefore in the light of (3.10), we can state the following:

Corollary (3.1): In a recurrenct Finsler space F_n^* of second order, the skew-symmetric part of the recurrence tensor $\beta_{lm}(x,\dot{x})$ with special concircular Lie-recurrence is Lie-recurrent with respect to the Lie-recurrence provided (3.9) holds.

Referrence:

[1] Duggle, K.I.: Curvature inheriting symmetry in Riemannian space

with application of Fluid space time, J. Math Phys.,

33(9), (1992), 2989-2996.

[2] Gupta, K.K.: Certain investigation in the differential geometry of

Finsler spaces with non-symmetric connection, Ph.D.

Thesis, University of Gorakhpur (India), 1980.

[3] Mishra, R.B.: A symmetric Finsler space, Tensor (N.S.) 24, (1972),

346-350.

[4] Mishra, C.K. and On curvature inheriting symmetry in Finsler space,

Lodhi, Gautam Acta Universities Apulensis, 30 (2012), 39-48.

[5] Nitsescu: Cataline Bianchies identities in a non-symmetric

connection space. Bul. Inst. Politenn. Iasi (N.S.)

20(24) Fasc. 1-2, Sec. I, 69-72 (1974)

[6] Pandey, H.D. and Some special properties of R_{iik}^h and Q_{iik}^h in recurrent

Gupta, K.K. Finsler spaces. Indian J. Pure applied Math. 10(8):

1020-1030 (1979).

[7] Pandey, H.D. and Existence of affine motion in a R-⊕ Recurrent Finsler

Tiwari, S.K. space : J. Nat. Acad. Math. Vol. (10), 1992-1996.

[8] Pande, P.N.: A recurrent Finsler space with concircular vector field,

Acta Math. Acad. Sci. (Hungarica) 35 (1980), 465-

466.

[9] Pandey, Vaishali and On a special concircular Lie-recurrence in Finsler

Pande, P.N. space, luter J. of pure and applied Math. Vol. 92, No. 3

(2014), 359-367.

[10] Rund, H. The differential geometry of Finsler spaces, Springer

Verlag, Berlin (1959).

S.K. Tiwari. "Special Concircular Lie-Recurrence in a Finsler space equipped with non-symmetric connection." *International Journal of Engineering Science Invention (IJESI)*, Vol. 12(3), 2023, PP 45-52. Journal DOI- 10.35629/6734